

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/679,514	10/06/2000	Hung-Jen Hsu	TS2000-166	8850	
28112	7590 04/20/2004		EXAMINER		
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES			KEBEDE	KEBEDE, BROOK	
28 DAVIS A	VENUE PSIE, NY 12603		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
roodimee	1012, 111 12005		2823		
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10h			
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/679,514	HSU ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brook Kebede	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n appe	ars n the cover sheet with the	rrespondence add	fress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated application application and abandone applications.	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ly to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee is set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet is set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	ıs.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · — — —					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: (Se	reconsideration has been considered the attachment).	dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered a w or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•				
0. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/679,514

Art Unit: 2823

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Advisory Action

Page 2

1. On cursory consideration, the request for reconsideration does not clearly appear to overcome the rejections.

2. Applicants' arguments filed March 24, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argued that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of Tsuji et al. with those of Applicants' admitted prior art (AAPA) process.

In response to applicants' argument, the Examiner respectfully submits that the process of AAPA includes formation resist pattern and contact openings in the passivation layer to expose bond pad using the resist pattern as a mask which is the same purpose as the purpose of forming openings to expose the underneath film in the process of Tsuji et al.

Therefore, the AAPA would have been motivated to apply the process of Tsuji et al.

according to the disclosed intended purpose of the process to enable the openings of AAPA

(MPEP 2144.07)

process to be formed. Furthermore, Tsuji et al. disclosed that the resist layer pattern is heated up

to reflow and to form a semi-cylindrical curved resist pattern in order to avoid sputtering of the

film below the resist pattern during etching process of the film. As a result, the growth of

contaminants on the sidewall of the film pattern can be avoided. Hence, the prima facie case of

obviousness has been met and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is deemed proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/679,514

Art Unit: 2823

Correspondence

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (571) 272-1862. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BK

April 6, 2004

Page 3